# Resistivity, drift and Hall mobility with EPW <br> Tutorial for 2022 School on Electron-Phonon Physics from First <br> Principles on June $15^{\text {th }}, 2022$ 

Hands-on session

Hands-on based on Quantum ESPRESSO (v7.1) and EPW v5.5

## Introduction

In this tutorial, we will show how to compute the intrinsic electron and hole low-field drift and Hall mobility of the polar cubic semiconductor BN using the linearised iterative Boltzmann transport equation (IBTE) and the self-energy relaxation time approximation (SERTA), with or without external magnetic field. We will also see how to compute the electric resistivity of metals. You are advised to prepare the following script file, e.g. run.sh:

```
--
#!/bin/bash
#SBATCH -J job.ph # Job name
#SBATCH -N 1 # Total # of nodes
#SBATCH --ntasks-per-node 8
#SBATCH -t 01:00:00 # Run time (hh:mm:ss)
#SBATCH -A EPSchool2022
#SBATCH -p small
#SBATCH --reservation=EPSchoolDay3
# Launch MPI code...
export PATHQE=/work2/06868/giustino/EP-SCHOOL/q-e
ibrun $PATHQE/bin/pw.x < scf.in | tee scf.out
ibrun $PATHQE/bin/ph.x < ph.in | tee ph.out
```

For the description for all input flags please follow the link:
https://epwdoc.gitlab.io/source/doc/Inputs.html

## Exercise 1

### 1.1 Theory

In this example we are going to calculate the drift and Hall hole carrier mobility of c-BN. The drift mobility is obtained with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\alpha \beta}^{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{-1}{V^{\mathrm{uc}} n^{\mathrm{c}}} \sum_{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k}{\Omega^{\mathrm{BZ}}} v_{n \mathbf{k} \alpha} \partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{n \mathbf{k}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the out of equilibrium occupations are obtained by solving the BTE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{n \mathbf{k}}=e v_{n \mathbf{k} \beta} \frac{\partial f_{n \mathbf{k}}^{0}}{\partial \varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}} \tau_{n \mathbf{k}}+\frac{2 \pi \tau_{n \mathbf{k}}}{\hbar} \sum_{m \nu} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} q}{\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\left|g_{m n \nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})\right|^{2} \\
\times & {\left[\left(n_{\mathbf{q} \nu}+1-f_{n \mathbf{k}}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}+\hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}\right)+\left(n_{\mathbf{q} \nu}+f_{n \mathbf{k}}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}-\hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}\right)\right] \partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} } \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The scattering rate in Eq. (2) is defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{n \mathbf{k}}^{-1} \equiv \frac{2 \pi}{\hbar} \sum_{m \nu} \int \frac{d^{3} q}{\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} & \left|g_{m n \nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})\right|^{2}\left[\left(n_{\mathbf{q} \nu}+1-f_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \delta\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}-\hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}\right)+\left(n_{\mathbf{q} \nu}+f_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}+\hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}\right)\right] . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

A common approximation to Eq. (2) is called the self-energy relaxation time approximation (SERTA) and consists in neglecting the second term in the right-hand of the equation which gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\alpha \beta}^{\mathrm{SERTA}}=\frac{-e}{V^{\mathrm{uc}} n^{\mathrm{c}}} \sum_{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k}{\Omega^{\mathrm{BZ}}} \frac{\partial f_{n \mathbf{k}}^{0}}{\partial \varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}} v_{n \mathbf{k} \alpha} v_{n \mathbf{k} \beta} \tau_{n \mathbf{k}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The the low-field phonon-limited carrier mobility in the presence of a small finite magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\alpha \beta}\left(B_{\gamma}\right)=\frac{-1}{V^{\mathrm{uc}} n^{\mathrm{c}}} \sum_{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k}{\Omega^{\mathrm{BZ}}} v_{n \mathbf{k} \alpha}\left[\partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{n \mathbf{k}}\left(B_{\gamma}\right)-\partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{n \mathbf{k}}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

again solving the BTE with finite (small) magnetic field:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[1-\frac{e}{\hbar} \tau_{n \mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{v}_{n \mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{B}\right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\right] \partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{n \mathbf{k}}\left(B_{\gamma}\right)=e v_{n \mathbf{k} \beta} \frac{\partial f_{n \mathbf{k}}^{0}}{\partial \varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}} \tau_{n \mathbf{k}}+\frac{2 \pi \tau_{n \mathbf{k}}}{\hbar} \sum_{m \nu} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} q}{\Omega^{\mathrm{BZ}}}\left|g_{m n \nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})\right|^{2} } \\
\times & {\left[\left(n_{\mathbf{q} \nu}+1-f_{n \mathbf{k}}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}+\hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}\right)+\left(n_{\mathbf{q} \nu}+f_{n \mathbf{k}}^{0}\right) \delta\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}-\hbar \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}\right)\right] \partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}\left(B_{\gamma}\right) . } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The Hall factor and Hall mobility are then obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{\alpha \beta}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}) & \equiv \lim _{\mathbf{B} \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\delta \epsilon} \frac{\left[\mu_{\alpha \delta}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]^{-1} \mu_{\delta \epsilon}(\mathbf{B})\left[\mu_{\epsilon \beta}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]^{-1}}{|\mathbf{B}|}  \tag{7}\\
\mu_{\alpha \beta}^{\text {Hall }}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}) & =\sum_{\gamma} \mu_{\alpha \gamma}^{\mathrm{d}} r_{\gamma \beta}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}), \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is the direction of the magnetic field. More information can be found in the review Rep. Prog. Phys. 83, 036501 (2020).

### 1.2 Preliminary calculations with Quantum Espresso

First download the exercise files:

```
$ cp XXXX/XXX.tar.
$ tar -xvf XXX.tar
$ cd exercise1/
```

- Make a self-consistent calculation for c-BN.


```
    celldm(1) = 6.833
    nat = 2
    ntyp = 2
    ecutwfc = 40
/
&electrons
    diagonalization = 'david'
    mixing_beta = 0.7
    conv_thr = 1.0d-13
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
    B 10.811 B-PBE.upf
    N 14.0067 N-PBE.upf
ATOMIC_POSITIONS {crystal}
B 0.00 0.00 0.00
N -0.25
K_POINTS automatic
8 8 8 0 0 0
```

Note: In practice the k-point grid needs to be fairly large in order to get converged dielectric function and Born effective charges during the following phonon calculation.
\$ ibrun \$PATHQE/bin/pw.x < scf.in | tee scf.out

Compute the vibrational properties of c-BN on a coarse $4 \times 4 \times 4$ q-point grid.

```
--
&inputph
    tr2_ph=1.0d-17,
    prefix='bn',
    amass(1)=10.811,
    amass(2)=14.0067,
    outdir='./',
    fildyn='bn.dyn.xml',
    fildvscf='dvscf'
    ldisp=.true.,
    epsil=.true.,
    nq1 = 4,
    nq2 = 4,
    nq3 = 4
/
```

Note: We have the input variable epsil=.true. which computes the macroscopic dielectric constant in non-metallic systems. If you add . xml after the name of the dynamical matrix file, it will produce the data in XML format (preferred). Note 2: The input variable responsible to produce the electron-phonon matrix element is fildvscf. Always make sure that this variable is present.
Note 3: Notice the very tight tr2_ph threshold parameter on the self-consistent first-order perturbed wavefunction. This is crucial to obtain good vibrational properties.
\$ ibrun \$PATHQE/bin/ph.x < ph.in | tee ph.out
The calculation should take about 5 min on 4 cores. During the run, notice the IBZ q-point grid:

```
Dynamical matrices for ( 4, 4, 4) uniform grid of q-points
( }8\mathrm{ q-points):
    N xq(1) xq(2) xq(3)
    10.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    -0.250000000 0.250000000 -0.250000000
    3 0.500000000 -0.500000000 0.500000000
    4 0.000000000 0.500000000 0.000000000
    5 0.750000000 -0.250000000 0.750000000
```

```
6 0.500000000 0.000000000 0.500000000
7 0.000000000 -1.000000000 0.000000000
8-0.500000000 -1.000000000 0.000000000
```

as well as the dielectric function and Born effective charge tensor:
Dielectric constant in cartesian axis
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrr}( & 4.597197252 & -0.000000000 & 0.000000000 \\ ( & -0.000000000 & 4.597197252 & 0.000000000 \\ ( & -0.000000000 & 0.000000000 & 4.597197252\end{array}\right)$

|  | atom | $\begin{gathered} \text { ve charges } \\ 1 \quad B \end{gathered}$ | (d Force / dE <br> Mean Z*: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in cartesian a } \\ & 1.89277 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E*x | ( | 1.89277 | -0.00000 | -0.00000 | ) |
| E*y | ( | -0.00000 | 1.89277 | -0.00000 | ) |
| E*z | ( | 0.00000 | -0.00000 | 1.89277 | ) |
|  | atom | 2 N | Mean $\mathrm{Z} *$ : | -1.89277 |  |
| E*x | ( | -1.89277 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | ) |
| E*y | ( | 0.00000 | -1.89277 | 0.00000 |  |
| E*z | ( | -0.00000 | 0.00000 | -1.89277 |  |

The experimental dielectric constant in c-BN is about 4.46. More accurate values can be obtained with larger k-point grids. c-BN is a polar material and has a Born effective charge of 1.89 which is very close to theoretical value of 1.91 .

Finally, we need to post-process some of the data to make it ready for EPW. To do so, we can use a python script (usually provided in QE/EPW/bin/pp.py but copied here for convenience).

- Run the python post-processing to create the save folder
\$ python3 \$PATHQE/EPW/bin/pp.py
The script will ask you to enter the prefix used for the calculation. In this case enter "bn". The script will create a new folder called "save" that contains the dvscf potential files, pattern files, and dynamical matrices on the IBZ.


### 1.3 Interpolation of the electron-phonon matrix element in real-space with EPW

Do a non self-consistent calculation on a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ uniform and $\Gamma$-centered $\mathbf{k}$-point grid with crystal coordinates in the interval [ 0,1 [
Such a grid can be for example generated with the wannier90 utility with kmesh.pl 444. The nscf.in file is as follow:

```
-- nscf.in
&control
    calculation = 'nscf'
    prefix = 'bn'
    restart_mode = 'from_scratch'
    pseudo_dir = './'
    outdir = './'
/
&system
    ibrav = 2
    celldm(1) = 6.833
    nat = 2
    ntyp = 2
    ecutwfc = 40
```

```
    nbnd
    = 20
/
&electrons
    diagonalization = 'david'
    mixing_beta = 0.7
    conv_thr = 1.0d-13
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
    B 10.811 B-PBE.upf
    N 14.0067 N-PBE.upf
ATOMIC_POSITIONS {crystal}
B 0.00 0.00 0.00
N -0.25 0.75 -0.25
K_POINTS crystal
64
    0.00000000 0.00000000}00.00000000 1.562500e-0
    0.00000000
    0.00000000 0.00000000 0.50000000 1.562500e-02
```

```
$ ibrun $PATHQE/bin/pw.x < nscf.in | tee nscf.out
```

The reason for the non-self consistent calculation is that EPW needs the wavefunctions on the full BZ on a grid between 0 and 1 .
Note: Since we are also interested in electron mobility, we will need the conduction bands. Notice that we added the input nbnd $=20$ in nscf.in

Perform an EPW calculation to Fourier-transform the electron-phonon matrix element from a coarse $4 \times 4 \times 4 \mathrm{k}$ and q -point grids to real space and then interpolate the electronic band structure and phononic dispersion along the $L-\Gamma-X-K-\Gamma$ high symmetry line by reading the file LGXKG.txt.


```
wdata(9) = 'guiding_centres = .true.'
wdata(10) = 'dis_num_iter = 5000'
wdata(11) = 'num_print_cycles = 10'
wdata(12) = 'dis_mix_ratio = 1.0'
wdata(13) = 'conv_tol = 1E-12'
wdata(14) = 'conv_window = 4'
wdata(15) = 'use_ws_distance = T'
fsthick = 100
degaussw =0.001
dvscf_dir = './save'
band_plot = .true.
filkf = './LGXKG.txt'
filqf = './LGXKG.txt'
    nk1 = 4
    nk2 = 4
    nk3 = 4
    nq1 = 4
    nq2 = 4
    nq3 = 4
/
```

\$ ibrun \$PATHQE/bin/epw.x -npool 8 -input epw1.in | tee epw1.out
Note: The number of pool -npool has to be the same as the total number of core -np since $\mathbf{k}$-point parallelization is (almost) the only parallelization level allowed. G-vector parallelization will be introduced in EPW v6.0.

The calculation should take less than 2 min . Note that the code should have detected the presence of the quadrupole.fmt file and correclty read the quadrupole tensor. Look in the output for the line Quadrupole tensor is correctly read:. In this hands-on we will not cover how to obtain the quadrupole tensor and they are simply given here. There are two ways to obtain them:

- Using perturbation theory. This is implemented in a recent version of the Abinit software.
- Fitting the perturbed density or the electron-phonon matrix elements in the long wavelength limit obtained by direct DFPT calculations.
More information can be found in Phys. Rev. Research 3, 043022 (2021)

At the end of the calculation, because of the keyword band_plot = .true., the code should produce the band.eig and phband.freq files that contain the electronic band structure and phononic dispersion along a path given in the filkf and filqf files.
If you want to have files in an easy gnuplot format, you can use the plotband. x tool by doing

## \$ \$PATHQE/bin/plotband.x

and follow the instructions. You should check that both plots look reasonable.

Do a restart calculation (restarting from the bn. epmatwp1 file) and compute the hole mobility of c-BN.
\$ ibrun \$PATHQE/bin/epw.x -npool 8 -input epw2.in | tee epw2.out

The input file is as follow:

```
-- epw2.in
    &inputepw
    prefix = 'bn
    outdir = './'
    elph = .true.
    epwwrite = .false.
    epwread = .true.
    etf_mem = 3 ! generate k-points within fsthick
    lpolar = .true.
    vme = 'dipole'
    mp_mesh_k = .true.
    nbndsub = 3
    bands_skipped = 'exclude_bands = 1, 5-20'
    scattering = .true.
    scattering_serta = .true.
    int_mob = .false.
    carrier = .true.
    ncarrier = -1E13
    iterative_bte = .true.
    epmatkqread = .false.
    mob_maxiter = 300
    broyden_beta= 1.0
    bfieldx = 0.0d0
    bfieldy = 0.0d0
    bfieldz = 1.0d-10 ! Apply a magnetic field along Cart. z
    nstemp = 1
    temps = 300
    restart = .true.
    restart_step = 1000
    wannierize = .false.
    num_iter = 50000
    iprint = 2
    dis_win_max = 12.0
    dis_win_min = -1.0
    proj(1) = 'N:p'
    elecselfen = .false.
    phonselfen = .false.
    a2f = .false.
    fsthick =0.4 ! 0.3 eV
    degaussw = 0.0
    efermi_read = .true
    fermi_energy = 11.246840
    dvscf_dir = './save'
    nkf1 
    nkf3 = 30
    nqf1 = 30
    nqf2 = 30
    nqf3 = 30
    nk1 = 4
    nk2 
    nk3 =4
    nq1 
    nq2 = 4
    nq3 = 4
/
```


## Notes:

- The value of fermi_energy was obtained from the output of the previous calculation epw1.in
- epwread allows for the restart from the bn. epmatwp1 file
- int _mob allows to perform both electron and hole calculations at the same time but is not recommanded.
- carrier and ncarrier define the carrier concentration. If carrier $=$.true. then the intrinsic mobility with ncarrier concentration (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ) is computed. If ncarrier is positive it will compute the electron mobility and if it is negative it will compute the hole mobility. The resulting mobility should be independent of the choice of carrier concentration in reasonable ranges $10^{10}-10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$.
- iterative_bte asks for the iterative solution of the BTE in addition to SERTA.
- nstemp and temps define the lattice temperature at which the mobility is evaluated.
- restart and restart_step will create restart point every (in this case) 1000 q-points. You can try breaking the run after a restart point and restart to test this feature.
- bfieldz adds a (small) finite magnetic field along the Cartesian z direction (in unit of Tesla). This will automatically trigger the calculation of the Hall factor.
- mob_maxiter is the maximum number of iterations for the BTE solution.
- degaussw $=0.0$ means that adaptive smearing is used. Positive values give Gaussian smearing.

The run should take about 4 min . The fine $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ point grids need to be much denser for real calculations. However, we can already get relatively decent results.

Re-run the code with multiple temperatures (using nstemp $=4$ and temps $=100,200,400$, 500). You should remove the restart.fmt file before doing so.

Try filling the table below for the hole mobility:

| T (K) | hole $\varepsilon_{F}(\mathrm{eV})$ | drift SERTA $\mu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{Vs}\right)$ | drift BTE $\mu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{Vs}\right)$ | Hall BTE $\mu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{Vs}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 |  |  |  |  |
| 300 |  |  |  |  |
| 400 |  |  |  |  |
| 500 |  |  |  |  |

At convergence you should get ${ }^{1}$ :

where the room temperature values with SOC should be around $319 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{Vs}$ for the drift BTE and $281 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{Vs}$ for the Hall mobility with a Hall factor of 0.88 .
$\rightarrow$ Try to increase the fine grids and add a few more temperatures and see if you can get a result closer to convergence.
${ }^{1}$ The figure is from Phys. Rev. Research 3, 043022 (2021)

- Try adding SOC
- Try removing or renaming the file quadrupole.fmt to do the interpolation with dipole only and see the impact on the results.


## Exercise 2

In this example we are going to calculate the electric resistivity of fcc Pb using the Ziman formula and the Boltzmann transport equation (BTE).
First go in the first exercise:
\$ cd exercise2
Make a self-consistent calculation for Pb and a phonon calculation on a homogeneous $3 \times 3 \times 3$ q-point grid.
Note: The ecutwfc need to be much larger for real calculations.

```
$ ibrun $PATHQE/bin/pw.x < scf.in | tee scf.out
$ ibrun $PATHQE/bin/ph.x < ph.in | tee ph.out
```

```
-- scf.in
    &control
        calculation = 'scf'
        prefix = 'pb'
        restart_mode = 'from_scratch'
    pseudo_dir = './'
    outdir = './'
    verbosity = 'high'
    tprnfor = .true.
    tstress = .true.
/
&system
    ibrav = 2
    celldm(1) = 9.27
    nat = 1
    ntyp = 1
    ecutwfc = 30
    occupations = 'smearing'
    smearing = 'mp'
    degauss }=0.02
/
&electrons
    diagonalization = 'david'
    mixing_beta = 0.7
    conv_thr = 1.0d-12
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
Pb 207.2 pb_s.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS crystal
Pb 0.0 0.0 0.0
K_POINTS automatic
    12 12 12 0 0 0
```

```
--
&inputph
    recover = .false.
    tr2_ph = 1.0d-17,
    prefix = 'pb',
    fildyn = 'pb.dyn.xml',
    fildvscf = 'dvscf'
    ldisp = .true.,
    nq1 = 3,
    nq2 = 3,
    nq3 = 3
/
```

The important keyword is fildvscf as it will tell the code to write to file the change of potential due to ionic displacement $\partial_{\mathbf{q} \nu} V^{\mathrm{scf}}$. The ldisp input allows to calculate phonons for a grid of q-points specified by nq1, nq2, and nq3.

Note 1: For real calculations the coarse $\mathbf{q}$-point grid should be converged on and is typically $6 \times 6 \times 6$ or $8 \times 8 \times 8$.
Note 2: The tr2_ph variable is the threshold on the perturbed wavefunction obtained by solving the Sternheimer equation and should be very small.
Note 3: It is recommended to add XXX.xml at the end of the fildyn as it will force the code to write the output in XML format.

This should take about 5 min to be completed. In the output file, locate the list of 4 irreducible $\mathbf{q}$ points in the Brillouin Zone (IBZ):

```
Dynamical matrices for ( 3, 3, 3) uniform grid of q-points
( 4 q-points):
    N xq(1) xq(2) xq(3)
    10.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    2-0.333333333 0.333333333 -0.333333333
    3 0.000000000 0.666666667 0.000000000
    4 0.666666667 -0.000000000 0.666666667
```

For each q-point, a pb.dynX.xml file containing the dynamical matrix has been produced. The $\mathrm{pb} . \mathrm{dvscf}$ files are located inside the _ph0 folder.
$\checkmark$ Gather the . dyn, .dvscf and patterns ${ }^{2}$ files into a new save directory. This can easily be done using the pp.py python script.
\$ python3 \$PATHQE/EPW/bin/pp.py
The script will ask you to provide the prefix of your calculation (here "pb").

Do a non self-consistent calculation on a homogeneous $3 \times 3 \times 3$ uniform and $\Gamma$-centered grid between [0,1[ in crystal coordinates.

```
-- nscf.in
    \(\begin{aligned} & \text { \&control } \\ & \text { calculation }\end{aligned}=\) 'nscf'
    prefix \(\quad=\quad\) 'pb'
    restart_mode = 'from_scratch'
    pseudo_dir = './'
    outdir = './'
    verbosity = 'high'
/
\&system
    ibrav \(=2\)
    celldm(1) \(=9.27\)
    nat \(=1\)
    ntyp \(=1\)
    ecutwfc \(=30\)
    occupations = 'smearing'
    smearing = 'mp'
    degauss \(=0.025\)
    nbnd \(=10\)
/
\&electrons
    diagonalization = 'david'
    mixing_beta \(=0.7\)
    conv_thr \(=1.0 \mathrm{~d}-12\)
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
Pb 207.2 pb_s.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS crystal
\(\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Pb} & 0.000000000 & 0.000000000 & 0.000000000\end{array}\)
```

[^0]```
K_POINTS crystal
27
0.00000000000000000
0.00000000000000000 0.0000000000000000 0.3333333333333333 0.037037037037
```

\$ ibrun \$PATHQE/bin/pw.x -input nscf.in | tee nscf.out

Perform an EPW calculation to interpolate the electron-phonon matrix element from a coarse $3 \times 3 \times 3$ to a dense $18 \times 18 \times 18 \mathrm{k}$-point and $\mathbf{q}$-point grids.


| nk3 | $=3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| nq1 | $=3$ |
| nq2 | $=3$ |
| nq3 | $=3$ |

There are two ways to compute the resistivity of Pb :

- using the phonon self-energy and the Eliashberg transport spectral function in conjunction with the Ziman formula
- using the Boltzmann transport equation

We will do both and start with the first one for which we need the isotropic transport spectral function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{tr}}^{2} F(\omega)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu} \int_{\mathrm{BZ}} \frac{d \mathbf{q}}{\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu} \lambda_{\mathrm{tr}, \mathbf{q} \nu} \delta\left(\omega-\omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the mode-resolved transport coupling strength is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{tr}, \mathbf{q} \nu}=\frac{1}{N\left(\varepsilon_{F}\right) \omega_{\mathbf{q} \nu}} \sum_{n m} \int_{\mathrm{BZ}} \frac{d \mathbf{k}}{\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}}\left|g_{m n, \nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})\right|^{2} \delta\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \delta\left(\varepsilon_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\left(1-\frac{v_{n \mathbf{k}} \cdot v_{m \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}}{\left|v_{n \mathbf{k}}\right|^{2}}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation of the spectral function in EPW is given by the two keywords phonselfen $=$.true . and a2f = .true.
Note 1: The dvscf_dir = './save' specify the place where we have placed the .dyn, .dvscf and patterns using the python script.

Note 2: Here we are using the delta_approx = .true. to approximate the double $\delta$ in Eq. (2). In this case the broadening of Dirac deltas is approximated by a Gaussian of widths given by degaussw $=0.1$ and the Dirac delta in Eq. (1) by degaussq $=0.05$.
Note 3: The variable ngaussw is used for calculation of the Fermi level and DOS and is a Fermi-Dirac distribution function (input -99) of electronic temperature given by temps $=1 \mathrm{~K}$ such that all the files names will end in XXX.1.000K.
\$ ibrun \$PATHQE/bin/epw.x -npool 8 -input epw1.in | tee epw1.out
The calculation should take about 6 min to be completed. While the calculation is running, notice in the epw1 . out the different steps a full EPW run goes into. First the Wannierization, then the unfolding into the full $3 \times 3 \times 3$ BZ, then the Fourier transform to real space and then finally the interpolation into the fine $18 \times 18 \times 18 \mathrm{k}$ and q grids.
At the end of the calculation, you should get:

```
Eliashberg Spectral Function in the Migdal Approximation
```



```
lambda : 1.9271341
lambda_tr : 1.4564165
Estimated Allen-Dynes Tc
logavg = 0.0002066 l_a2f = 1.9281703
mu = 0.10 Tc = 4.573698490498 K
mu = 0.12 Tc = 4.363659787196 K
mu = 0.14 Tc = 4.152675719793 K
mu = 0.16 Tc = 3.941000842563 K
mu = 0.18 Tc = 3.728922987482 K
mu = 0.20 Tc = 3.516766489845 K
```

Note that the converged value for $\lambda$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{tr}}$ should be around 1.1. In addition the files $\mathrm{pb} . \mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{f} .01 .1 .000$ and pb.a2f_tr.01.1.000 which contain the Eliashberg spectral function and transport spectral function for different broadening values should have been produced.
Tip: Look at the end of the pb.a2f_tr.01.1.000 file to know which column corresponds to which broadening.

You should get something similar to this (here shown for two broadening values 0.15 meV (blue) and 0.3 meV (red)):


Again this is unconverged. At convergence you should get something closer to ${ }^{3}$ :


- Compute the resistivity of Pb using the Ziman's formula for metals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(T)=\frac{4 \pi m_{e}}{n e^{2} k_{B} T} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \hbar \omega \alpha_{\mathrm{tr}}^{2} F(\omega) n(\omega, T)[1+n(\omega, T)] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of electrons per unit volume and $n(\omega, T)$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution. Usually this means the number of electrons that contribute to the mobility which is 4.0 (can be fractional) for the case of Pb and given with the input variable $\mathrm{nc}=4.0 \mathrm{~d} 0$.
${ }^{3}$ The figure is from Comput. Phys. Commun. 209, 116 (2016).

The resistivity was actually computed during the previous run. A file named pb.res.01.1.000 should have been created. The file contains the resistivity (in $\mu \mathrm{Ohm} \mathrm{cm}$ ) for various temperatures and smearing values (in meV ).
\# Temperature [K]

|  | 0.0500000 | 0.1000000 | 0.1500000 | 0.2000000 | 0.2500000 | 0.3000000 | 0.3500000 | 0.4000000 | 0.4500000 | 0.5000000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 0.3512365 | 0.3525075 | 0.3547220 | 0.3580869 | 0.3631532 | 0.3708099 | 0.3812646 | 0.3936785 | 0.4068816 | 0.4199550 |
| 20 | 1.4725265 | 1.4750212 | 1.4793713 | 1.4859905 | 1.4959803 | 1.5111188 | 1.5318215 | 1.5564122 | 1.5825522 | 1.6084046 |
| 30 | 2.8584829 | 2.8621542 | 2.8685611 | 2.8783246 | 2.8930970 | 2.9155452 | 2.9462931 | 2.9828262 | 3.0216362 | 3.0599667 |
| 40 | 4.2577891 | 4.2626474 | 4.2711290 | 4.2840617 | 4.3036484 | 4.3334453 | 4.3742842 | 4.4228124 | 4.4743510 | 4.5252226 |
| 50 | 5.6291937 | 5.6352487 | 5.6458209 | 5.6619449 | 5.6863747 | 5.7235552 | 5.7745264 | 5.8350971 | 5.8994175 | 5.9628891 |

You should get the following graph (for 0.15 meV smearing):


Note that in this case, as it is an integrated quantity, it is not so dependent on smearing. Compare your result with other smearing.
At convergence you should get ${ }^{4}$ :


Now compute the conductivity of Pb using the BTE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{-e}{V_{\mathrm{uc}}} \sum_{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k}{\Omega_{\mathrm{BZ}}} v_{n \mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} \partial_{E_{\beta}} f_{n \mathbf{k}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]\$ ibrun \$PATHQE/bin/epw.x -npool 8 -input epw2.in | tee epw2.out


Note 1: In this calculation, we are restarting from the electron-phonon matrix elements written in real space in the pb .epmatwp file.
Note 2: In the case of BTE, temps corresponds to the real lattice temperature and degaussw is used to approximate the Dirac deltas. In this case it has the value 0.0 eV which means that an adaptative smearing is used. The value of the smearing is therefore band and k-point dependent and depends on the fine grid size: the denser the grids, the smaller the smearing.
Note 3: Because of the restart = .true. input parameter, if you want to do a clean restart, you need to remove the restart file by doing rm restart.fmt.
$\rightarrow$ Check the output file to find the minimum and maximum values of smearing reported. You can try changing the fine grids to see how it affects the smearing.

- Extract the SERTA and BTE conductivity as a function of temperature from the output file. You should get something like this (blue SERTA, red BTE):


Note: The resistivity is the inverse of the conductivity, therefore you can also obtain it.
At convergence you should get (BTE and SERTA are almost the same in this case, figure courtesy of Félix Goudreault):

$\rightarrow$ You can try to include SOC using noncolin =.true. and lspinorb =.true. in scf.in and re-do everything to see the impact. Note that the calculations will be longer and that you need to double the number of Wannier functions and bands.


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ The patterns file contains the basis in which the .dvscf are defined.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ The figure is from Comput. Phys. Commun. 209, 116 (2016).

